

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
10 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
11 AT SEATTLE

12 GREENPOINT TECHNOLOGIES, INC. )

13 Plaintiff, )

14 v. )

15 PERIDOT ASSOCIATED S.A., *et al.*, )

16 Defendants. )  
17  
18

CASE NO. C08-1828 RSM

ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S  
MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION

19 This matter comes before the Court on Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration. (Dkt. #38).  
20 Such motions are disfavored and will be denied in the absence of "a showing of manifest error  
21 in the prior ruling or a showing of new facts or legal authority which could not have been  
22 brought to its attention earlier with reasonable diligence." Local Rule CR 7(h)(1). Here,  
23 Plaintiff argues that the Court should clarify its order vacating a prejudgment writ of  
24 garnishment to ensure that Defendants do not sell or transfer an aircraft that is the subject of the  
25 parties' dispute. Alternatively, Plaintiff argues that the Court should reinstate the writ for 30  
26 days. The legal basis for Plaintiff's motion rests upon its contention that a Ninth Circuit case  
27 relied upon by the Court in the previous order is not controlling in this case. However,  
28

1 Plaintiff's current motion essentially amounts to re-argument of issues presented earlier.  
2 Accordingly, Plaintiff's motion shall be DENIED.  
3

4 The Clerk is directed to forward a copy of this Order to all counsel of record.  
5

6 DATED this 25<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2009.  
7

8   
9

10 RICARDO S. MARTINEZ  
11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28